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## $A B S T R A C T$

Electrohydrodynamic effect on natural convection in horizontal channels is investigated from a numerical point of view. The EHD effect is induced by narrow strip electrodes placed at the bottom wall of the channel. The channel is subjected in a first stage only to the electric forces, and in a second stage to the simultaneous action of a temperature gradient and an electric field. The interactions between electric field, flow field and temperature field are analyzed. It can be concluded that charge density distribution, flow pattern and temperature distribution are substantially affected by the arrangement of the electrodes; in fact four different arrangement were treated. The effect of pure electroconvection on charge density distribution and on flow pattern was studied. A periodic flow corresponding to particular values of electric Rayleigh was observed, then the impact of combined electro-thermo convection on heat transfer was undertaken in a second step and it was noted that the optimum arrangement of the electrodes provides an increase in heat transfer of up to $13 \%$. The effect of the applied electric forces is also studied in order to highlight the importance of putting compromise between the supplied voltage and arrangement of the electrodes. Finally, a study of optimized configuration of electrodes was achieved
doi: 10.5829/ije.2017.30.08b. 21

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It has been long known that applying an electric field to an insulated dielectric fluid which yields electrohydrodynamic forces in the fluid [1-3] is one of the most promising techniques among various active methods in heat transfer enhancement [4-7]. This method consists of the interactions between electric, flow and temperature fields. In fact, this technique is encountered in many industrial applications such as heat exchangers, solar heating systems, solar chimneys as well as cooling of electronic equipment. There exist some studies related to the use of EHD. For instance, using a computational fluid dynamics technique Kasayapanand and Kiatsiriroat [8] studied corona wind augmented heat transfer. Some authors [9-12] showed the EHD phenomenon in natural convection. Many theoretical and experimental studies have characterized

[^0]the structure of the electroconvective flow in channels [13-18]. W. Hassen et al. [19] studied the effect of a partial unipolar injection on heat transfer in a horizontal annulus; their work aimed to eliminate the dead zone which is a poor exchange domain located at the bottom of the annular space. R.B Lakeh [20] has undertaken a computational and experimental approach to study heat transfer in parallel plate configuration using an electrically-induced secondary flow field. Also, F.S. Moghanlou et al. [21] carried out an experimental research on electrohydrodynamically induced heat transfer enhancement in a mini channel. S. Nasirivatan [22] focused on the effect of corona wind on the natural convection at absorber of a solar chimney; their experimental results showed the improvement of the efficiency of absorbers through enhanced heat transfer with corona wind. On the other side, the study of electrodes' arrangement effect on heat transfer was undertaken by N. Kasayapanand [23] as well as by M. Peng et al. [24] in a more recent research, but these studies focused only on the effect of punctual electrodes
placed inside the channels. In this study we will be interested on narrow strip electrodes placed at the bottom wall of a horizontal channel. In fact, the full numerical solutions of equations that model the EHD are very rare, especially in the horizontal channels; also the study of the combined effect of the electric Rayleigh and thermal Rayleigh for this type of geometry is almost nonexistent.

## 2. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION AND NUMERICAL MODEL

We consider a dielectric fluid layer confined in a horizontal channel of length L, width W and aspect factor equal to 4 , of which the two vertical walls are thermal insulators. The ground plate is maintained at constant temperature and the bottom wall is considered as heat transfer surface (subjected to hot temperature). The emitter narrow strip electrodes ( $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{L} / 30$ ) placed on the bottom wall (subjected to the variation of their arrangements) are held at potential V1 and represents the source of ions injection. The entire top wall is designed to absorb these injected ions and is held at potential $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ (Figure 1). The layer is thus subjected simultaneously to a thermal gradient and a potential difference $\Delta \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{0}$. The problem is formulated considering the usual hypotheses of Newtonian and incompressible fluid of kinematic viscosity " $v$ ", density " $\rho$ ", permittivity " $\varepsilon$ " and thermal diffusivity " a ".

The injection of charge of mobility " $K$ " at the emitter is assumed 'homogeneous' and 'autonomous. In addition, to avoid excessive complexity, we neglect Joule heating. Finally, we consider the Boussinesq approximation. The general set of equations expressing the conservation of mass and momentum (the NavierStokes equations) including electrical and buoyancy forces, energy conservation, charge density conservation, the Gauss theorem and the definition of the electric field takes the form [25]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\rho_{0}\left(\frac{\partial \vec{U}^{\prime}}{\partial t^{\prime}}+\left(\vec{U}^{\prime} . \nabla\right) \vec{U}^{\prime}\right)=-\vec{\nabla} P^{\prime}++\rho \vec{g} \beta\left(\theta^{\prime}-\theta_{0}\right)+\overrightarrow{\mathrm{f}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\mu\left(\frac{\partial^{2} U_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial^{2} x_{j}^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{\partial^{2} U_{j}^{\prime}}{\partial^{2} x_{i}^{\prime 2}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Problem geometry
$\left(\frac{\partial \theta^{\prime}}{\partial \mathrm{t}^{\prime}}+\overrightarrow{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime} . \nabla \theta^{\prime}\right)=\nabla \cdot\left(\mathrm{a} \nabla \theta^{\prime}\right)$
$\frac{\partial q^{\prime}}{\partial t^{\prime}}+\nabla \cdot \vec{j}=0$
$\nabla \cdot\left(\varepsilon \vec{E}^{\prime}\right)=q^{\prime}$
$\overrightarrow{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime}=-\vec{\nabla} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$
In Equation (2), $\vec{f}$ is the electric force
$\overrightarrow{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{q}^{\prime} \mathrm{E}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E}^{\prime 2} \vec{\nabla} \varepsilon+\vec{\nabla}\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho \mathrm{E}^{\prime 2}\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \mathrm{q}^{\prime}}\right)_{\theta}\right)$
In Equation (4), $\vec{j}$ represents the current density which is defined as:
$\overrightarrow{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{q}^{\prime}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{U}}^{\prime}+\mathrm{K} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{E}}^{\prime}\right)$
In Equation (7), the first term known as Coulomb force, is the force per unit volume exerted by electric field on a medium containing free charges. Under D.C. conditions, the second term corresponds to the dielectric force and it is very weak compared to the Coulomb force. The third term which represents the effect of electrostriction has the gradient form and can be included in the pressure term of momentum equation, but in the case of incompressible fluid this term can be also neglected [26-28]. Thus, finally the electric force can be expressed as:
$\overrightarrow{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{q}^{\prime} \mathrm{E}^{\prime}$
As numerical method we had recourse to the "VorticityStream function" formalism ( $\psi-\omega$ ), which allows the elimination of the pressure which is always tricky to handle. They are respectively defined by the two following relations:
$\vec{\omega}^{\prime}=\nabla \times \overrightarrow{\mathrm{u}}^{\prime}$
$\overrightarrow{\mathrm{u}}^{\prime}=\nabla \times \vec{\psi}^{\prime}$
Introducing the following dimensionless variables:
$x=\frac{x^{\prime}}{L} \quad y=\frac{y^{\prime}}{L} \quad U_{y}=U_{y}^{\prime} \frac{L}{a} \quad U_{x}=U_{x}^{\prime} \frac{L}{a} \quad \psi=\frac{\psi^{\prime}}{a}$
$\omega=\omega^{\prime} \frac{\mathrm{L}^{2}}{\mathrm{a}} \quad \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \frac{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{L}^{2}} \quad \theta=\frac{\theta^{\prime}-\theta_{\mathrm{h}}}{\theta_{\mathrm{c}}-\theta_{\mathrm{h}}} \quad \mathrm{q}=\frac{\mathrm{q}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{q}_{0}}$
$\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}^{\prime} \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{0}\right)} \quad \mathrm{V}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{V}^{\prime}-\mathrm{V}_{0}\right)}{\mathrm{V}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{0}}$
That leads to the definition of the following dimensionless numbers:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{Ra}=\frac{\mathrm{g} \beta \Delta \theta \mathrm{~L}^{3}}{v \mathrm{a}} & \operatorname{Pr}=\frac{v}{\mathrm{a}} & \mathrm{~T}=\frac{\varepsilon \Delta \mathrm{V}}{\rho v \mathrm{~K}} \\
\mathrm{C}=\frac{\mathrm{q} \mathrm{~L}}{}{ }^{2} \\
\varepsilon \Delta \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{R}=\frac{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{M}^{2}} &
\end{array}
$$

Which are respectively the thermal Rayleigh number, the Prandlt number, the ratio between the Coulomb and the viscous forces (called electric Rayleigh number), the measure of the injection strength, the mobility parameter which takes into account electrohydrodynamic properties of the fluid and the electric Reynolds number.

For a two-dimensional geometry, the system which governs this type of electro-thermo-convective flow (1)(6) is written in dimensionless form as:
$\omega=-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial \mathrm{y}^{2}}\right)$
$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t}+U_{x} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}+U_{y} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial x^{2}}$
$+\frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial y^{2}}+\operatorname{Ra} \cdot \operatorname{Pr} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathrm{x}}$
$+\frac{\mathrm{CT}^{2}}{\mathrm{M}^{2}} \operatorname{Pr}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{qE}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{x}}-\frac{\partial\left(\mathrm{qE}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{y}}\right)$
$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{y}} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathrm{y}}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial \mathrm{y}^{2}}\right)$
$\frac{\partial \mathrm{q}}{\partial \mathrm{t}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x}}+\right.\right.$ R.Pr. $\left.\left.\cdot \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)\right)$
$+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(q\left(U_{y}+\right.\right.$ R.Pr. $\left.\left.\cdot E_{y}\right)\right)=0$
$\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial y^{2}}=-C \cdot q$
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{y}}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{y}}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}$
To quantitatively evaluate the enhancement in heat transfer, the local and mean Nusselt numbers are defined at bottom wall as follow:
$\overline{\mathrm{Nu}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{Nu} \partial \mathrm{x}$ where $\mathrm{Nu}=\left.\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathrm{y}}\right|_{\mathrm{y}=0}$
The boundary conditions are as follows:
At the bottom wall (At the electrodes)
$\Psi=\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y}=0, \quad V=q=\theta=1, \omega=-\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial y^{2}}$

At the bottom wall (Out electrodes)
$\Psi=\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathrm{y}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{y}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{q}}{\partial \mathrm{y}}=0, \theta=1, \omega=-\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial \mathrm{y}^{2}}$
At the top wall
$\Psi=\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y}=V=\frac{\partial q}{\partial y}=0, \theta=1, \omega=-\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial y^{2}}$
At left and right walls
$\Psi=\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \mathrm{x}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{V}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{q}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}=\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathrm{x}}=0, \omega=-\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{2}}$
The partial differential Equations (12)-(16) governing the EHD flow field and the associate boundary condition (20)-(23) are integrated over a control volume. The power-law scheme for treating convective terms [29] is chosen for stability contingencies and in order to prevent excessive numerical diffusion, also a fully implicit first order Euler time scheme is retained to discretize the temporal derivatives [30], then a set of algebraic equations for the vorticity, stream function, energy, charge density and electric potential is obtained. This algebraic set of equations was solved using Successive Over Relaxation (SOR) based on the GaussSeidel iterative solver [31].

The choice of the relaxation coefficients for each unknown $\Psi, \omega, \mathrm{V}, \theta$ and q depends mainly on electric Rayleigh number. At high electric Rayleigh number, to avoid the divergence of the computation $\Psi, \omega, \mathrm{V}, \theta$ and q are under-relaxed. At low electric Rayleigh number in order to accelerate the calculation, $\Psi$ is over-relaxed while $\omega, \mathrm{V}, \theta$ and q are under-relaxed.

Grid independency checks were performed and a 31 x 121 control volumes was found sufficient enough for the desired accuracy. Finer meshes have been tested without showing greater improvement of the results. The dimensionless time step was chosen equal to $10^{-4}$ which ensured the stability of the computations [32].
The stopping criterion is satisfied as soon as:
$\frac{\max \left|\psi^{\mathrm{K}}-\psi^{\mathrm{K}-1}\right|}{\max \left|\psi^{\mathrm{K}}\right|}+\max \left|\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{K}}-\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{K}-1}\right| \leq 10^{-5}$ for each time step where the superscript k designates the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ iteration of the SOR algorithm. Finally, it is also noted that the dimensionless parameters used for these simulations are: $\mathrm{Ra}=10000, \mathrm{Pr}=10, \mathrm{M}=10$ and $\mathrm{C}=10$ corresponding to the strong injection case.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 3. 1. Pure Electroconvection

3. 4. 5. Charge Density Distribution

Figure 2 investigates the charge density distribution for pure electroconvection case. When a potential difference is
applied, it is found that there is a formation of different mushroom structures around the electrodes' strips. In fact the formed mushroom structures are explained by the charge migration from the emitting wall to the receiving wall. Thus, the receiving wall is also gaining charges. On the other hand, it is seen that it is not covered with charges in the same way which is due to the different arrangement of the electrodes. It is observed that the highest concentration of charges remains always close to the electrodes. For the first configuration we observe two conjugated mushrooms that extend by increasing T. The charges always follow the location of the electrodes; that is why when the electrodes are spaced (2nd configuration), two clearly separated mushrooms are observed. It is noted also that the charges of the right mushroom have a greater longitudinal diffusion than those of the left one. In what regards the third arrangement of the electrodes, we observe also two conjugated mushrooms covering the central part of the channel, and the charges are more accumulated when T increases. For the fourth configuration, the longitudinal diffusion is limited and the charges are immediately dragged by high Coulomb force to the top wall. For this same configuration, we notice also that the charge plumes get slimmer when T is increased and the receiving wall is gaining more charges. In fact, the increase of the electric Rayleigh tilts in favor of convective forces rather than diffusive ones. It is also worth noting the symmetrical behavior for the two last configurations.


Figure 2. Charge density distribution for pure electroconvection
3.1.2.Stream Function Contours It is noted that the arrangement of the electrodes remarkably influences the flow structure while being dependent on the applied potential difference as well.

A multicellular flow with counter-rotating cells appears (Figure 3); for the first two configurations, three cells are obtained. However, for the first and second configurations, it is observed that the cells expand and the maximum vortex speed rotation increases. With respect to the third and fourth configuration, the cells number increases (4 cells) while covering the entire channel. It should be noted also that the flow rotation direction of these two last configurations has been inverted by comparing it with that of the first two configurations (upward at the extremities and downward in the center). On the other hand, the size of the cells and the maximum vortex rotational speed increased for the fourth configuration. For this same arrangement of the electrodes and for $\mathrm{T}=500$ and $\mathrm{T}=800$, an unsteady flow is observed, the details of this flow are presented in the next paragraph.

## 3. 1. 3. Periodic Flow

Indeed, a periodic regime is observed as it can be seen in Figure 4. The amplitude spectrum depicted in Figure 5 confirms the existence of a fundamental frequency equal to $f_{1}=12.81$, with mainly one higher harmonic ( $f_{2}=2 f_{1}$ ).


Figure 3. Stream function contours for pure electroconvection

To deeply study the behavior of the flow and the charge density, Figures 6 and 7 illustrate respectively a time sequence of streamlines and charge density distribution over one oscillation period (noted as $\tau$ ). A phenomenon of cellular beat is observed in the figure; during this phenomenon, the cells are keeping almost the same shape and the same flow direction. Also, the maximum vortex speed rotation is seen at the beginning of the period, then it starts to decrease progressively to reach a minimum vortex speed rotation at the half of the period. From the half-period (at $t+3 \tau / 4$ ), we observe that the cells are identical to the ones seen for $t+\tau / 4$, what allows to obtain almost the same structure as that of the beginning. On the other hand, in what regards the charge density distribution, an important longitudinal diffusion is observed near the electrodes. Then the plumes become narrower and the concentration of charges reaching the top wall is almost negligible. Then, the plumes become slimmer before being drifted to the top wall. Subsequently, the right plume widens, and the upper corresponding wall is almost void of charge. The left plume is, on the other hand, narrower and the concentration of charges at the receiving wall is much greater. At the half-period, longitudinal charge diffusion is great near the electrodes, the plumes had stopped diffusion at approximately $\mathrm{y}=0.5$.


Figure 4. Time history of y velocity for pure electroconvection for $\mathrm{C}=10, \mathrm{M}=10, \mathrm{~T}=800, \mathrm{x}=0.25, \mathrm{y}=0.3$


Figure 5. Amplitude spectrum of the longitudinal velocity for pure electroconvection for $\mathrm{C}=10, \mathrm{M}=10, \mathrm{~T}=800$


Figure 6. Streamline sequences during one main period $(\tau)$


Figure 7. Charge density distribution during one main period ( $\tau$

Towards the end of the period, the obtained plumes are almost identical to those observed at $t+\tau / 4$; however, they have not reached the receiving wall.
3. 2. Combined Electrothermoconvection The number of electrodes fixed to perform this work is 2 and four different arrangements were studied.

## 3. 2. 1. Charge Density Distribution

Figure 8 investigates the charge density distribution of EHD applying while the Rayleigh number is fixed at $10^{4}$. It is noted that the highest concentration of charges remains always close to the electrodes. For the first arrangement (Figure 8.1), we notice only for a low potential difference that we obtain two conjugated mushrooms with charges covering the entire vertical left wall, but only a very small portion of the receiving wall is covered with charges. When T is increased, the same structure is found; there is lateral charge diffusion on the emitting wall increasing proportionally with T . The charges cover particularly the surface close to the electrodes and then are drifted to the top wall. Once the upper wall is reached, the increase of the electric Rayleigh value has no longer any effect on the lateral diffusion of charges on this wall. In what regards the second electrodes arrangement (Figure 8.2), we observe one mushroom structure which becomes wider when
increasing T to end by covering nearly the half of the channel for $\mathrm{T}=1200$. It is observed also that the structure of the mushroom follows the location of the electrodes; so, we can clearly see two mushrooms. In fact, for small values of T , the potential difference is not sufficiently high to control the distribution of charges and it is influenced essentially by the thermal forces. In the third configuration (Figure 8.3), we observe also two mushrooms covering the central portion of the channel with a size that expands by increasing T. For the fourth arrangement (Figure 8.4) and for $\mathrm{T}=200$, two separated mushrooms covering the entire channel are obtained, a small portion of the emitting wall remains void of charges. While a very small portion of the receiving wall is covered with charges. Increasing T allows the narrowing of the surface covered with charges of the emitting wall (except for $\mathrm{T}=800,3$ mushrooms are obtained covering the entire emitting wall with charges) while receiving wall is still gaining more charges. Indeed for great values of T, there is little diffusion of charges which are immediately drifted to the receiving wall by thermo convective flow.

## 3. 2. 2. Stream Function Contours At first, it

 should be noted that the application of a thermal gradient causes a multicellular flow that covers the entire channel regardless of the configuration and also regardless of the electric Rayleigh number. For the pure thermo convective case, we obtain four perfectly symmetrical cells with a circular shape. By applying an electrical field, it is noted that the number of cells can reach a maximum of six, regardless of the value of T (except for $\mathrm{T}=1200$ ) (Figure 9). On the other hand it is noteworthy that the arrangement of the

Figure 8. charge density distribution for combined electro-thermo-convection $\left(\mathrm{Ra}=10^{4}\right)$
electrodes remarkably influences the flow structure, while being dependent on the applied potential difference as well; for instance, for the first arrangement, the maximum number of cells is obtained for $\mathrm{T}=200$ ( 5 cells). For higher values of T we observe that the direction of the flow is reversed. An ascending flow in the vicinity of electrodes is thus obtained. The maximum vortex rotational speed increases and there is a coalescence of the two cells close to the electrodes when T increases. For the second arrangement, also the maximum number of cells is obtained for lower values of $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{T}=200$ and $\mathrm{T}=500$ ) three cells on the electrodes at the first half of the channel, but a new coalescence is seen in the second half of the channel for higher values of T and we obtain four cells having a shape which tends to be circular. For the last two configurations, symmetrical cells are always obtained with respect to the median of the channel. For the third configuration, six cells are observed for $\mathrm{T}=200$. For the same T for the fourth arrangement, we note that there is an upward flow due to a thermal dominated zone. For higher values of T , we notice that the direction of flow in the vicinity of the electrodes has been inverted and the flow becomes descending. There are six cells for lower values of T . However, when T is increased, a coalescence of cells is obtained; so, we find 5 cells for $\mathrm{T}=800$ and when T reaches 1200 , a doubled coalescence is seen with 4 cells with a much with a much higher vortex rotation speed.

$T=200$

$T=800$


Figure 9. Stream function contours $\left(\operatorname{Ra}=10^{4}\right)$

The number of cells remains constant for the fourth configuration of electrodes. Indeed, when the potential difference becomes very important, obviously the electric force will have more influence and it will contribute with the simultaneous action of thermal forces to increase the maximum speed of the vortex rotation on the one hand, and will cause the coalescence of cells on the other hand, which become, thus, much wider.
3. 2. 3. Temperature Distribution The same temperature profile (Figure 10) is obtained for different electric Rayleigh numbers; however the larger thermal plumes remain approximate to the electrodes, while similar thermal plumes are found in the case of pure thermoconvection. Thus, the combined action of electric forces and buoyancy forces lead to the distortion of isotherm lines. On the other hand, the arrangement of the electrodes causes different temperature patterns to occur in the channel. Regardless of the value of T, we always obtain a maximum number of thermal plumes equal to 3 (for the second arrangement for $\mathrm{T}=200$, for the third arrangement for $\mathrm{T}=500$ and also for $\mathrm{T}=800$. An unstable solution appears also for $\mathrm{T}=800$ (downward hot fluid flow), for the third case. However, for this same configuration, for $\mathrm{T}=1200$ a return to stability is seen and for any arrangement of the electrodes, we obtain much distorted isotherm lines with always two large thermal plumes.

## 3. 2. 4. Heat Transfer

Figure 11 shows the evolution of the mean Nusselt number as a function of electric Rayleigh for the different arrangement of the electrodes treated in this study.


Figure 10. Temperature distribution $\left(\mathrm{Ra}=10^{4}\right)$


Figure11. Evolution of the mean Nusselt number as a function of electric Rayleigh for different arrangement of the electrodes.

It is observed that the application of an electric field greatly contributes to heat transfer enhancement; there is a $42 \%$ increase compared to the case of conventional natural convection and electrothermoconvective case with $\mathrm{T}=1200$. On the other hand, positioning of electrodes has a very significant effect on heat transfer. In fact, the lowest Nusselt values are observed when the electrodes are bonded either symmetrically or not. Then, for high values of T , the symmetrically spaced electrodes allow to obtain the best heat transfer. In fact, the positioning of electrodes achieves a heat transfer improvement exceeding $16 \%$ (for $\mathrm{T}=1200$ ).

## 3. 3. Effect of Optimization of Arrangement of Electrodes On Heat Transfer In this part, we

 added electrodes in precise positions based on the nature of the flow (upward or downward flow) while starting with the observation of the pattern obtained with Rayleigh Benard convection. Figure 12 indicates the variation of the stream function with the position. The numbers added on the figure indicate the eventual positions where electrodes were added. Table 1 below shows the different treated cases; the number of electrodes fixed for this study is two. Thermal Rayleigh is $10^{4}$ and for the study of heat transfer electric Rayleigh number was set equal to 1200 . We note that heat transfer enhancement depends on the configuration of the electrodes. As we saw in the previous section and Table 2, we obtain a greater improvement of heat transfer when the electrodes are spaced (heat transfer improvement of over $37 \%$ ). The increase in heat transfer for each case in Table 2 is calculated with respect to the average Nusselt number obtained for pure electro-thermo-convection. However, even with stuck electrodes, we get a considerable heat transfer for case No. 3 with electrodes arranged in position 7; what is interesting to see is that this same position associated with another electrode placed at the tip of the channel enables a significant improvement in heat transfer (case

Figure 12. Variation of the stream function with the position (Rayleigh Benard convection, $\mathrm{y}=0.5$ )

TABLE 1. Treated cases with associated electrode's position

| Treated case |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joined electrode cases |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |
| 2 | 2 |  |
| 3 | 1 |  |
| 4 |  |  |
| Spaced electrode's cases |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |

TABLE 2. Effect of electrode's configuration on heat transfer

| Case | Average Nusselt | \% of increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.74 | 17.85 |
| 2 | 2.78 | 19.57 |
| 3 | 3.11 | 33.76 |
| 4 | 2.76 | 18.71 |
| 5 | 3.22 | 38.5 |
| 6 | 3.19 | 37.2 |
| 7 | 3.2 | 37.63 |

No. 6), however, the maximum heat transfer is achieved when the electrodes are placed at $x=1.52$ (position 7) and $\mathrm{x}=2.44$ (position 8) which means for almost symmetrical electrodes with respect to the median of the channel and also in the intermediate position thus, generally we can conclude that injecting electrodes in vertically motionless areas allow to obtain the best heat transfer.

## 4. CONCLUSION

Numerical simulations are carried out to analyze the mechanism of natural convection inside horizontal channels incorporating with an electrohydrodynamic
effect. The conclusions are as follow: A periodic flow was depicted in the case of pure electroconvection for $\mathrm{T}=500$ and $\mathrm{T}=800$. The flow pattern and charge density distribution are affected by the provided electric Rayleigh. The cooperation of thermal gradient and of an electric field allows the development of the flow along the whole channel which is not the case for pure electroconvection. Arrangement of the electrodes have considerable impact on the heat transfer; in fact bonded electrodes should be avoided since symmetrical spacing of the electrodes allows having an important enhancement in heat transfer. Optimizationof the arrangement of Electrodes confirms the results obtained above, but it also shows that there are some other configurations that should be avoided such as placing joined electrodes at the end of the channel ( $\mathrm{x}=0.1$ and $\mathrm{x}=1.08$ ).
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```
اثر الكتروهيدروديناميكى (EHD) بر روى گرايش طبيعى در كانالهاى افقى از ديدگاه عددى بررسى شده است. اثر EHD توسط
الكترودهاى نوار باريك قرار داده شده در ديوار پايين كانال ايجاد مىشود. كانال در مرحله اول تنها به نيروهاى الكتريكى و در مرحله
دوم به اثر همزمان يك گراديان درجه حرارت و يك ميدان الكتريكى اعمال مىشود. تعاملات بين ميدان الكتريكى، ميدان جريان و
ميدان دما تجزيه و تحليل مىشود. مى توان چحنين نتيجه گرفت كه توزيع چچگالى شار، الگوى جريان و توزيع دما به طور قابل ملاحظه-
```



```
توزيع تراكم شارزّ و الگوى جريان مطالعه شده است. يك جريان دورْاى كه مربوط به مقادير ويزه الكتريكى رايلى بود، مشاهده شد.
اثر تركيب الكتريكى حرارتى در انتقال حرارت در مرحله دوم بررسى و مشاهده شد كه ترتيب الكترودهاى بهينه افزايش انتقال حرارت
```



```
    تنظيم الكترود را برجسته نمايد. در نهايت، مطالعه پيكربندى الكترود بهينه شده به دست آمد.
doi: 10.5829/ije.2017.30.08b.21
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